Academic Benefits of Living On Campus

James Murray Department of Economics University of Wisconsin - La Crosse

July 1, 2010

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

James Murray, University of Wisconsin - La Crosse Academic Benefits of Living On Campus

Purpose Academic Benefits Campus Resources Peer Influences

- **O** Does living on campus lead to higher student performance?
 - Immediate effects
 - Delayed/permanent effects
 - Companion paper: de Araujo and Murray (2010), "Estimating Effects of Dormitory Living on Student Performance," *Economics Bulletin*.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- Or Through what channels is living on campus likely to lead to higher student performance?
 - University resources
 - Peer effects

Purpose Academic Benefits Campus Resources Peer Influences

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Literature: Benefits of Living on Campus

- Positive impact on academics: Thompson, et. al. (1993).
- Critical thinking skills: Pascarella et. al. (1993):
- Social development skills: Flowers (2004).
- No difference: Delucchi (1993).
- Environment: Schroeder and Maple (1994), Schrager (1986)

Purpose Academic Benefits Campus Resources Peer Influences

Literature: Campus Resources

- Faculty/Student interaction
 - Pascarella and Terenzini (1991)
 - Astin (1993)
 - Kuh and Hu (2001a)
- Information technology: Kuh and Hu (2001b)
- Institutional spending / not necessarily academic support: Toutkoushian and Smart (2001)

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

Purpose Academic Benefits Campus Resources Peer Influences

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Literature: Peer Influences

- Positive influences are dominant: Henderson et. al. (1978).
- Negative influences carry through college: Betts and Morell (1999).
- "Average" students most susceptible to peer influence: Zimmerman (2003).

Data Estimation Results

Data

Population

- Undergraduate students at Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis.
- Approximately 19,700 students under age 25.
- Extremely limited on-campus housing capacity: 1,107.
- No on-campus housing requirements.

Sample

- Electronic survey given to 6,000 undergraduate in Fall 2008.
- 363 completed questionnaire.
- Questions included: living situation, social habits, study habits, campus resource utilization, personal background, academic background.

Data Estimation Results

Variables

6/15

Measure of academic performance

- Spring 2008 Semester GPA.
- Cumulative GPA through Spring 2008.

(Each examined in turn)

Living on campus dummy

- Student lived on campus in Spring 2008.
- Student lived on campus during an part of their time at IUPUI.

(Each examined in turn)

▲ □ ► ▲ □ ►

Data Estimation Results

Variables

Instrumental variables

- Distance of hometown from campus positively related to whether a student lived on-campus.
- On-campus housing turned down due to lack of available space (dummy).

Controls

- Gender
- Parents' income
- Non-traditional student dummy (age>25)
- ACT/SAT percentiles
- Number of semesters completed
- Number of credits in Spring 2008.

200

Data Estimation Results

Estimation

8/15

Estimation Procedure

- OLS
- **2** Just-identified using only distance from campus.
- **O** GMM using both instruments.
- Two-stage MLE (first stage probit) using both instruments.

Three Specifications

- O Cumulative GPA on DORM_EVER.
- **2** Spring Semester 2008 GPA on DORM_EVER.
- Spring Semester 2008 GPA on DORM_S08.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Data Estimation Results

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Э

Coefficient on Living on Campus Dummy

Cumulative GPA on DORM_EVER						
OLS	IV	GMM	MLE			
0.210**	0.312*	0.448***	0.431***			
[0.087]	[0.187]	[0.140]	[0.156]			
Spring 2008 Semester GPA on DORM_EVER						
OLS	IV	GMM	MLE			
0.185*	0.221	0.416**	0.410**			
[0.095]	[0.289]	[0.212]	[0.166]			
Spring 2008 Semester GPA on DORM_S08						
OLS	IV	GMM	MLE			
0.303***	0.490	0.973*	0.693***			
[0.096]	[0.642]	[0.526]	[0.201]			

Standard errors in parenthesis.

Results from de Araujo and Murray, Economics Bulletin, 2010.

Data Estimation Results

Channel Variables

University Provided Resources: Fall 2008

- Use of fitness resources (hours per week Tobit).
- Use of tutors (hours per week Robust OLS).
- Engagement in extra-curricular activities (dummy Probit).
- Hours using campus resources (hours per week Tobit).
- Hours studying (hours per week Tobit).

Peer-Influenced Variables

- Number of drinks per week (Robust OLS)
- Ever used drugs while at IUPUI (Probit)
- Study with roommates (hours per week Tobit)
- Study with classmates (hours per week Tobit)

Data Estimation Results

Estimation

- 4 回 2 - 4 回 2 - 4 回 2 - 4

- Explanatory Variables:
 - DORM_PAST: Whether or not student lived on campus in the past.
 - DORM_F08: Whether or not student lived on campus in Fall 2008 semester.

(Both included simultaneously)

- Same set of controls.
- No IV estimation yet.

Data Estimation Results

æ

	FITNESS	TUTORS	XTCUR	CAMPUS	STUDY
	Tobit	Robust OLS	Probit	Tobit	Tobit
DORM_F08	-3.687**	0.153	0.788*	-6.613***	-1.702
	[1.459]	[0.136]	[0.429]	[2.066]	[1.55]
DORM_PAST	0.023	-0.279**	0.937***	0.916	1.296
	[1.069]	[0.11]	[0.268]	[1.532]	[1.317]
N	207	225	232	231	225
F-stat	1.67	1.46		3.09***	1.46
Wald Stat		—	50.45***	—	—
(Pseudo) R ²	0.0163	0.0206	0.1663	0.0228	0.0025

Campus Resource Variables

- Except for extra-curricular activities, significant values have opposite than expected signs.
- Engaging in extra-curricular activities is an immediate and permanent effect.

James Murray, University of Wisconsin - La Crosse

Academic Benefits of Living On Campus

Data Estimation Results

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Э

Peer-Influenced Variables

		DDUCC		GTUDDOOM
	DRINKS	DRUGS	STUDCLASS	STUDROOM
	Robust OLS	Probit	Tobit	Tobit
DORM_F08	-0.186	0.200	0.051	2.077
	[0.183]	[0.389]	[1.156]	[1.803]
DORM_PAST	-0.341***	0.204	2.313***	2.467**
	[0.131]	[0.312]	[0.812]	[1.218]
Ν	226	230	231	230
F-stat	4.58***		2.37**	3.50***
Wald Stat	—	26.98***	—	
(Pseudo) R ²	0.1322	0.1140	0.0272	0.0601

Delayed but significant long term effects:

- Less likely to drink.
- More likely to study with peers.

Summary Weaknesses / Next Steps

Conclusion

- Find significant statistical evidence that living on campus improves student performance.
 - Immediate effect: estimates range from 0.303 (OLS) to 0.973 (IV/GMM) increase in semester GPA.
 - Permanent effect: estimates range from 0.210 (OLS) to 0.448 (IV/GMM) increase in cumulative GPA.
- Channels:
 - More likely to develop productive relationships with peers.
 - Consume less alcohol in *subsequent* semesters.
 - More likely to participate in extra-curricular activities, stay involved.
 - Largely failed to identify channels to explain an immediate effect.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Summary Weaknesses / Next Steps

Weaknesses / Next Steps

- Non-significant campus resources
 - Nothing was significant
 - Very low R-squared, insignificant F-stat.
- Next steps:
 - Should include both current and past living situation simultaneously in academic benefits regressions.
 - Use instrumental variables to account for endogeneity in channels regressions.
 - Investigate more channels: living with a roommate that drinks, attend faculty office hours.

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト