

BUS 735: Business Decision Making and Research

Instructor: Dr. James Murray

Take Home Exam 1 - Fall 2014

Answer Key

Directions: Type up answers to all of the following questions. Include in your document only the relevant SPSS output that you need to answer the question. Please copy and paste this SPSS output; do not include in your submission any other files except a single Microsoft Word document or PDF document that includes all your answers with the relevant SPSS output accompanying each answer. Every time you conduct a hypothesis test, indicate what statistical test you are using, what are the null and alternative hypotheses, what is your p-value, and a plain English description of what is your conclusion.

1. The dataset **wage1D.sav** contains the following variables including wage and background information for 526 individuals:

- **wage:** average hourly earnings
- **educ:** years of education
- **exper:** years of experience
- **tenure:** years with current employer
- **nonwhite:** Dummy variable = 1 if employee is non-white.
- **female:** Dummy variable = 1 if employee is female.

(a) Estimate a regression that explains average hourly earnings using all the variables in the dataset. What is your estimated regression equation?

Model Summary

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
|-------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | .603 ^a | .364 | .358 | 2.960 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), female, nonwhite, exper, educ, tenure

ANOVA^a

| Model | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|-------|------------|----------------|-----|-------------|--------|-------------------|
| 1 | Regression | 2603.752 | 5 | 520.750 | 59.427 | .000 ^b |
| | Residual | 4556.662 | 520 | 8.763 | | |
| | Total | 7160.414 | 525 | | | |

a. Dependent Variable: wage

b. Predictors: (Constant), female, nonwhite, exper, educ, tenure

Coefficients^a

| Model | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. |
|-------|------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--------|------|
| | | B | Std. Error | Beta | | |
| 1 | (Constant) | -1.540 | .732 | | -2.103 | .036 |
| | educ | .570 | .050 | .428 | 11.507 | .000 |
| | exper | .025 | .012 | .093 | 2.188 | .029 |
| | tenure | .141 | .021 | .276 | 6.660 | .000 |
| | nonwhite | -.116 | .427 | -.010 | -.271 | .786 |
| | female | -1.812 | .265 | -.245 | -6.835 | .000 |

a. Dependent Variable: wage

$$\text{Wage}_i = -1.54 + 0.570(\text{Educ}_i) + 0.025(\text{Exper}_i) + 0.141(\text{Tenure}_i) - 0.116(\text{Nonwhite}_i) - 1.812(\text{Female}_i) + e_i$$

(b) What percentage of the variability in average hourly earnings is explained by education, experience with employer, total experience, race, and gender?

36.4% (the value of R-square)

- (c) Controlling for the other variables in the model, is there evidence that female employees receive lower wages on average than male employees? If so, what is the estimated difference in hourly earnings?

$$\mathbf{H_0 : } \beta_{\text{female}} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{H_a : } \beta_{\text{female}} < \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{P\text{-value} = 0.000}$$

We found sufficient statistical evidence that women earn smaller hourly wages than men. On average women make \$1.81 less than men ($b_{\text{female}} = -1.812$).

- (d) Controlling for the other variables in the model, is there evidence that more total experience leads to an increase in average hourly earnings? If so, what is the estimated difference in average hourly earnings between someone who has 8 years of experience, and someone who has 10 years of total experience?

$$\mathbf{H_0 : } \beta_{\text{exper}} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{H_a : } \beta_{\text{exper}} > \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{P\text{-value} = 0.015 (= 0.029 / 2)}$$

We found sufficient statistical evidence that experience leads to higher hourly wages. On average, each year experience adds \$0.025 to hourly wages ($b_{\text{exper}} = 0.025$). Therefore, an additional 2 years experience leads to an average hourly wage that is 5 cents more per hour.

- (e) What is the predicted wage for a white male with 12 years of education, 10 years of experience, and 8 year experience with his current employer?

$$\mathbf{\hat{W}age_i = -1.54 + 0.570(12) + 0.025(10) + 0.141(8) - 0.116(0) - 1.812(0) = \$6.68}$$

2. The following questions use the dataset `cex.sav`. This is recent (2010:Q2) consumer income data from the Current Population Survey. The variables included in this SPSS file include:

- Age (in years)
- Relationship to head-of-household: 1=head of household, 2=spouse, 3=child or adopted child of head, 4=grandchild of head, 5=in-law of head, 6=brother/sister of head, 7=mother/father of head, 8=other relatives, 9=unrelated individual, 0=na.
- Education: 00=Never attended school, 1-11 1st grade through 11th grade, 38=Twelfth grade no degree, 39=High school graduate, 40=Some college no degree, 41=Associate's degree (occupational/vocational), 42=Associate's degree (academic), 43=Bachelor's degree, 44=Master's degree, 45 =Professional degree, 46=Doctorate degree
- Race: 1=White, 2=Black/African American, 3=American Indian or Aleut Eskimo, 4=Asian or Pacific Islander, 5=other
- Gender: 1=male, 2=female
- Marital Status: 1=married, 2=widowed, 3=divorced, 4=separated, 5=never married
- Employee Status: 1=member worked full time for a full year, 2=member worked part time for a full year, 3=member worked full time for part of year, 4=member worked part time for part of year, blank if member did not work.
- Employee Type: 1=private company, 2=government employee, 3=self-employed, 4=working without pay.
- Hours worked per week
- Weeks worked per year
- Occupation: 01=managerial and professional specialty occupation, 02=technical, sales, and administrative support occupations, 03=service occupations, 04=farming, forestry, and fishing occupations, 05=precision production, craft, and repair occupations, 06=operators, fabricators, and laborers, 07=armed forces, 08=self employed, 09=not working, 10=retired, 11=other, including not reported.
- Total Income: in dollars.

Transform Education into a new variable with the following categories:

- (a) =1 if High School graduate or less
- (b) =2 if Any college below a Bachelor's degree ($40 < \text{EDU} < 43$)
- (c) =3 if Bachelor degree
- (d) =4 if Masters, professional, or doctorate degree

For all of the following questions, use the results from a single analysis that controls for how all of the following variables affect income: employee type, gender, race, age, hours worked per week, and education level (as defined by your new variable).

- (a) Comment on all the factors for which you find statistical evidence that influence average income. Report explicitly your hypothesis tests for these variables.

ANCOVA with TotalInc as the dependent variable; age and hours per week as explanatory covariates; and employee type, gender, race, and education as fixed factors.

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Dependent Variable: TotalInc

| Source | Type III Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|------|-------------|---------|------|
| Corrected Model | 8.482E+11 ^a | 95 | 8928635780 | 7.881 | .000 |
| Intercept | 1.082E+10 | 1 | 1.082E+10 | 9.549 | .002 |
| Age | 3.382E+10 | 1 | 3.382E+10 | 29.852 | .000 |
| hrsweek | 2.098E+11 | 1 | 2.098E+11 | 185.166 | .000 |
| emptype | 870331528.4 | 3 | 290110509.5 | .256 | .857 |
| Gender | 21389006.20 | 1 | 21389006.20 | .019 | .891 |
| Race | 1790727206 | 4 | 447681801.4 | .395 | .812 |
| Education | 2.437E+10 | 3 | 8124719855 | 7.171 | .000 |
| emptype * Gender | 1056351141 | 2 | 528175570.3 | .466 | .627 |
| emptype * Race | 6173021430 | 9 | 685891270.0 | .605 | .793 |
| emptype * Education | 1.380E+10 | 7 | 1970754563 | 1.740 | .095 |
| Gender * Race | 7068974324 | 4 | 1767243581 | 1.560 | .182 |
| Gender * Education | 267557005.9 | 3 | 89185668.64 | .079 | .972 |
| Race * Education | 9209640636 | 12 | 767470053.0 | .677 | .775 |
| emptype * Gender * Race | 2930689392 | 6 | 488448232.0 | .431 | .859 |
| emptype * Gender * Education | 3979161271 | 6 | 663193545.1 | .585 | .742 |
| emptype * Race * Education | 2.516E+10 | 14 | 1797367065 | 1.586 | .075 |
| Gender * Race * Education | 1.002E+10 | 9 | 1113306427 | .983 | .452 |
| emptype * Gender * Race * Education | 2530818368 | 6 | 421803061.3 | .372 | .897 |
| Error | 3.109E+12 | 2744 | 1132924296 | | |
| Total | 5.725E+12 | 2840 | | | |
| Corrected Total | 3.957E+12 | 2839 | | | |

a. R Squared = .214 (Adjusted R Squared = .187)

Age, hours per week, and education influence average income.

Null: Average income is equal over education categories

Alt: Average income is not equal over education categories

p-value = 0.000. Reject the null hypothesis.

Found statistical evidence that average income does depend on education level.

Null: Age does not affect average income.

Alt: Age does affect average income.

p-value = 0.000. Reject the null hypothesis.

Found statistical evidence that average income does depend on age.

Null: Hours per week does not affect average income.

Alt: Hours per week does affect average income.

p-value = 0.000. Reject the null hypothesis.

Found statistical evidence that average income does depend on hours per week.

- (b) For the categorical variables that you identify above as influencing average income, comment on the relationship between the factor and average income. What categories of individuals do you find statistical evidence for having higher income?

Education

Estimates

Dependent Variable: TotalInc

| Education | Mean | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval | |
|-----------|--------------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| 1.00 | 12208.137 ^{a,b} | 3808.363 | 4740.590 | 19675.685 |
| 2.00 | 18898.143 ^{a,b} | 4152.441 | 10755.917 | 27040.369 |
| 3.00 | 24557.798 ^{a,b} | 4757.458 | 15229.237 | 33886.359 |
| 4.00 | 47472.158 ^{a,b} | 4796.116 | 38067.796 | 56876.520 |

a. Covariates appearing in the model are evaluated at the following values: Age = 40.37, hrsweek = 38.95.

b. Based on modified population marginal mean.

Pairwise Comparisons

Dependent Variable: TotalInc

| (I) Education | (J) Education | Mean Difference (I-J) | Std. Error | Sig. ^d | 95% Confidence Interval for Difference ^d | |
|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------|---|-------------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| 1.00 | 2.00 | -6690.006 ^{a,b} | 5635.574 | .235 | -17740.402 | 4360.389 |
| | 3.00 | -12349.7 ^{a,b,*} | 6093.119 | .043 | -24297.225 | -402.097 |
| | 4.00 | -35264.0 ^{a,b,*} | 6125.451 | .000 | -47274.983 | -23253.059 |
| 2.00 | 1.00 | 6690.006 ^{a,b} | 5635.574 | .235 | -4360.389 | 17740.402 |
| | 3.00 | -5659.655 ^{a,b} | 6315.854 | .370 | -18043.963 | 6724.654 |
| | 4.00 | -28574.0 ^{a,b,*} | 6342.567 | .000 | -41010.703 | -16137.326 |
| 3.00 | 1.00 | 12349.66 ^{a,b,*} | 6093.119 | .043 | 402.097 | 24297.225 |
| | 2.00 | 5659.655 ^{a,b} | 6315.854 | .370 | -6724.654 | 18043.963 |
| | 4.00 | -22914.4 ^{a,b,*} | 6753.360 | .001 | -36156.543 | -9672.177 |
| 4.00 | 1.00 | 35264.02 ^{a,b,*} | 6125.451 | .000 | 23253.059 | 47274.983 |
| | 2.00 | 28574.01 ^{a,b,*} | 6342.567 | .000 | 16137.326 | 41010.703 |
| | 3.00 | 22914.36 ^{a,b,*} | 6753.360 | .001 | 9672.177 | 36156.543 |

Based on estimated marginal means

Of all the categorical variables, there is only statistical evidence that education influences income. The post-hoc tests on Education reveal that the highest level of education (Master's degree or above) has the highest level of income, and is statistically significantly greater than all the others. Also, statistical evidence is found for those with a bachelor have a higher average income than those with high school or less.

- (c) For the interval/ratio variables that you identify above as influencing average income, comment on the relationship between the factor and average income.

Correlations

| | | TotalInc | Age | hrsweek |
|----------|---------------------|----------|--------|---------|
| TotalInc | Pearson Correlation | 1 | .208** | .507** |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | | .000 | .000 |
| | N | 6953 | 6953 | 6953 |
| Age | Pearson Correlation | .208** | 1 | .225** |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | | .000 |
| | N | 6953 | 6953 | 6953 |
| hrsweek | Pearson Correlation | .507** | .225** | 1 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | .000 | |
| | N | 6953 | 6953 | 6953 |

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Review of the Pearson correlation coefficients show that age and hours per week are *positively* related to income.

- (d) For what *pairs* of categorical factors do you find statistical evidence for an interaction effect? Conduct these hypothesis tests and comment on the nature of the interaction effect.

None of the pairwise interaction effects are statistically significant at the 5% level.

3. The dataset `LoanApplications.sav` contains data collected as part of the Housing Mortgage Disclosure Act on all mortgage loans in the state of Wisconsin for 2013 for first-mortgages for owner occupied housing (more than 58,000 observations). The variables include the following:

- **LoanAmt**: Amount of the loan request (in thousands of dollars)
- **PreapproveRequest**: Whether or not pre-approval was requested (=1 if requested, =2 if not, and =3 if not applicable)
- **ActionType**: Whether the loan was approved by the lending institution and accepted by the borrower (=1 if approved and accepted, =2 if approved but not accepted, =3 if denied).
- **County**: County in Wisconsin, number instead of descriptive text.
- **Ethnicity**: Ethnicity of the applicant (first applicant in the case of co-applicants). =1 if non-Hispanic / non-Latino/a, =2 if Hispanic or Latina
- **Race**: Race of the applicant (first applicant in the case of co-applicants). =1 if White, =2 Black / African American, ...
- **Sex**: Sex of the applicant (first applicant in the case of co-applicants). =1 if Male, =2 if Female
- **Income**: Combined household income of the applicants and co-applicants (in thousands of dollars)

(a) Not controlling for any other variables, is there a relationship between the loan amount and the income of the applicant(s)? If so, describe the relationship.

Pearson Correlation for Income and Loan Amount

H₀ : Correlation is equal to zero.

H_A : Correlation is not equal to zero.

Correlations

| | | TotalInc | Age | hrsweek |
|----------|---------------------|----------|--------|---------|
| TotalInc | Pearson Correlation | 1 | .208** | .507** |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | | .000 | .000 |
| | N | 6953 | 6953 | 6953 |
| Age | Pearson Correlation | .208** | 1 | .225** |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | | .000 |
| | N | 6953 | 6953 | 6953 |
| hrsweek | Pearson Correlation | .507** | .225** | 1 |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | .000 | |
| | N | 6953 | 6953 | 6953 |

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

p-value = 0.000 Reject the null hypothesis.

There is statistical evidence that income and loan amount are correlated. The positive Pearson correlation coefficient indicates it is a positive relationship.

- (b) Not controlling for any other variables, is there a relationship between whether pre-approval was sought on the loan and the subsequent action taken on the loan.

Chi-square test of independence for two categorical variables: PreapproveRequest and ActionType

H₀ : PreapproveRequest and ActionType are independent (not related)

H₁ : PreapproveRequest and ActionType are not independent (they are related)

PreapproveRequest * ActionType Crosstabulation

Count

| | | ActionType | | | Total |
|-------------------|---|------------|------|------|-------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| PreapproveRequest | 1 | 9362 | 287 | 864 | 10513 |
| | 2 | 19709 | 909 | 3017 | 23635 |
| | 3 | 19512 | 937 | 3490 | 23939 |
| Total | | 48583 | 2133 | 7371 | 58087 |

Chi-Square Tests

| | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. (2-sided) |
|------------------------------|----------------------|----|-----------------------|
| Pearson Chi-Square | 314.244 ^a | 4 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 336.699 | 4 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear Association | 277.874 | 1 | .000 |
| N of Valid Cases | 58087 | | |

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 386.05.

p-value = 0.000 Reject the null hypothesis.

There is statistical evidence that requesting preapproval is related to the subsequent action taken on the loan.

- (c) Not controlling for any other variables, is there a relationship between race and the action taken on the loan?

Chi-square test of independence for two categorical variables: Race and ActionType

H₀ : Race and ActionType are independent (not related)

H₁ : Race and ActionType are not independent (they are related)

Race * ActionType Crosstabulation

Count

| | | ActionType | | | Total |
|-------|---|------------|------|------|-------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Race | 1 | 221 | 12 | 96 | 329 |
| | 2 | 1080 | 73 | 219 | 1372 |
| | 3 | 800 | 31 | 259 | 1090 |
| | 4 | 65 | 2 | 26 | 93 |
| | 5 | 45731 | 1933 | 6579 | 54243 |
| | 6 | 686 | 82 | 192 | 960 |
| Total | | 48583 | 2133 | 7371 | 58087 |

Chi-Square Tests

| | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. (2-sided) |
|------------------------------|----------------------|----|-----------------------|
| Pearson Chi-Square | 385.189 ^a | 10 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 317.621 | 10 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear Association | 113.076 | 1 | .000 |
| N of Valid Cases | 58087 | | |

a. 1 cells (5.6%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 3.42.

p-value = 0.000 Reject the null hypothesis.

There is statistical evidence that race is related to the action taken on the loan.

- (d) Not controlling for any other variables, is there a difference in the proportion of men approved for a loan versus the proportion of women approved?

Need to create a new variable for Approval. Approval = 1 if ActionType = 1 or 2 (approved), Approval = 0 if ActionType = 3 (denied)

Independent Samples T-test, compute mean of Approval (proportion approved) for men versus women.

H_0 : Proportion of men approved for loan is equal proportion of women approved for a loan.

H_0 : Proportion of men approved for loan is not equal proportion of women approved for a loan.

T-Test

| | Sex | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |
|----------|-----|-------|-------|----------------|-----------------|
| Approved | 1 | 41006 | .8773 | .32814 | .00162 |
| | 2 | 17081 | .8631 | .34373 | .00263 |

| | | Levene's Test for Equality of Variances | | t-test for Equality of Means | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------------|---|------|------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| | | F | Sig. | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) | Mean Difference | Std. Error Difference |
| Approved | Equal variances assumed | 85.808 | .000 | 4.665 | 58085 | .000 | .01414 | .00303 |
| | Equal variances not assumed | | | 4.577 | 30668.518 | .000 | .01414 | .00309 |

p-value = 0.000. Reject the null hypothesis.

There is statistical evidence that the proportion of people approved for a loan is different for men and women. The approval rate for men is 1.4% more than women.

4. Use the same dataset as the previous question, and answer the following questions by estimating a logistic regression that predicts whether or not an applicant is approved for a loan, based sex, ethnicity, whether or not the person is white, and the ratio of amount of their loan request to their income.

(a) Write down the estimated logistic regression equation.

Recode variables so that approval, sex, ethnicity, and race are all dummy variables. Also, compute a new variable for the ratio of loan amount to income.

| Variables in the Equation | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------|------|---------|----|------|--------|
| | B | S.E. | Wald | df | Sig. | Exp(B) |
| Step 1 ^a | | | | | | |
| Sex | .100 | .027 | 13.477 | 1 | .000 | 1.105 |
| Ethnicity | .773 | .048 | 263.018 | 1 | .000 | 2.166 |
| White | -1.002 | .125 | 63.918 | 1 | .000 | .367 |
| Ratio | -.193 | .011 | 310.068 | 1 | .000 | .825 |
| Constant | 1.603 | .056 | 815.617 | 1 | .000 | 4.968 |

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: Sex, Ethnicity, White, Ratio.

$$l_i = 1.6 + 0.100(\text{Sex}_i) + 0.773(\text{Ethnicity}_i) - 1.002(\text{Race}_i) - 0.193(\text{Ratio}_i) + e_i$$

- (b) Accounting for the controls in your logistic regression, is there evidence that sex influences whether or not someone will be approved for a loan?

T-test on regression coefficient on sex

H₀ : Sex does not influence loan approval (coefficient=0)

H_A : Sex does influence loan approval (coefficient≠0)

p-value = 0.000 Reject the null hypothesis.

There is statistical evidence that sex does influence whether or not someone is approved of a loan.

- (c) Accounting for the controls in your logistic regression, is there evidence that race influences whether or not someone will be approved for a loan?

T-test on regression coefficient on race

H₀ : Race does not influence loan approval (coefficient=0)

H_A : Race does influence loan approval (coefficient≠0)

p-value = 0.000 Reject the null hypothesis.

There is statistical evidence that race does influence whether or not someone is approved of a loan.

- (d) Predict the probability that the following person will be approved for a loan. The person is male, white, non-Hispanic / non-Latino, and the ratio of their loan request to their income is 2.5.

$$\hat{l}_i = 1.6 + 0.100(1) + 0.773(1) - 1.002(1) - 0.193(2.5) = 0.9885$$

$$P(\hat{y}_i = 1) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-0.9885}} = 0.729$$

This person has a 72.9% chance of being approved for a loan.

- (e) How much more or less likely would the person above be approved for a loan if he was not white?

$$\hat{l}_i = 1.6 + 0.100(1) + 0.773(1) - 1.002(0) - 0.193(2.5) = 1.9905$$

$$P(\hat{y}_i = 1) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-1.9905}} = 0.880$$

This person has an 88% chance of being approved for a loan. This person is 15% more likely.